

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/759,746 | 01/12/2001 | David A. Cathey | 92-0466.04 | 9740 |
| . 7: | 90 01/28/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| JAMES R DUZAN TRASKBRITT PC | | | HARPER, HOLLY R | |
| P O BOX 2550 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | ITY, UT 84110 | | 2879 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/759,746 | CATHEY, DAVID A. | | | |
| Advisory Addisor | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Holly R. Harper | 2879 | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence addi | ess | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of | • | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S | ee MPEP | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (| ension fee under (2) as set forth in | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) A they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See attached explanation</u> . | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed | l amendment | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NC | T place the | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/759,746

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on 12/29/03, has not been entered. The proposed amendments change the scope of the claims and thus will not be entered.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicants claim that there is no motivation to combine Bol in view of Yeh, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The Yeh is analogous in the area of growing and controlling oxide bumpers. The Yeh reference discloses a method for growing and controlling the oxide bumpers, which Bol utilizes in creating his FED.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Harper whose telephone number is (571) 272-2453. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382.

Art Unit: 2879

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Holly Harper Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879 NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800